IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

MDL No. 2873 Master Docket No.: 2:18-mn-2873-RMG) This document relates to: Whitaker, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03452-RMG) Carter, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03453-RMG) Butler, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03454-RMG **IN RE: Aqueous Film Forming Foams** (AFFF) Products Liability Litigation) Cowart, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03455-RMG) Kelley, et al v. 3M Co., Inc. et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03776-RMG) Clopton v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03754-RMG) Ashford, et al v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-03774-RMG) Antonio, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-04300-RMG) Lee, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al.,) No. 2:24-cv-04301-RMG

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PENDING MOTIONS TO REMAND

These cases do not involve contamination from aqueous film-forming foam ("AFFF") and the Plaintiffs in these cases do not claim they were injured by AFFF contamination. In fact, the plaintiffs in these cases have explicitly denied they are seeking any "damages from or associated with AFFF manufacture, use, and/or disposal." Instead, these Plaintiffs allege that the manufacturing facilities run by the Defendants (3M Company, Inc., Daiken America, Inc., and Toray Fluorofibers (America), Inc.) contaminated local water supplies with non-AFFF PFAS chemicals. Despite Plaintiffs' unambiguous statements that they are not seeking damages

1

¹ Plaintiffs all filed substantively identical complaints.

Page 2 of 3

associated with the manufacture, use, or disposal of AFFF, 3M removed these cases from state court pursuant to the Federal Officer Removal Statute, 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)(1) ("Section 1442(a)(1)"). 3M says that it can take advantage of this removal statute because it would raise the federal contractor defense in response to claims—that Plaintiffs expressly disavowed—for AFFF-related injuries. This Court has already rejected this removal ploy. *See South Carolina v. 3M Co.*, No. 2:23-cv-05979, D.E. 13 at *4-*5 (D.S.C. Feb. 29, 2024) (Gergel, J.) (holding that 3M cannot cite its production of AFFF as grounds for removal under Section 1442(a)(1) when the plaintiff expressly disclaimed any injury from AFFF).

Below is a chart of the case numbers and docket entries for the pending Motions to Remand.

Case Number	Docket Entry
Whitaker, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-03452-RMG	D.E. 15
Carter, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-03453-RMG	D.E. 14
Butler, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-03454-RMG	D.E. 15
Cowart, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-03455-RMG	D.E. 19
Kelley v. 3M Co., Inc. et al., No. 2:24-cv-03776-RMG	D.E. 11
Clopton v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-03754-RMG	D.E. 3
Ashford v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-03774-RMG	D.E. 15
Antonio, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-04300-RMG	D.E. 13
Lee, et al. v. 3M Co., Inc., et al., No. 2:24-cv-04301-RMG	D.E. 14

Plaintiffs filed substantively identical motions to remand in the Northern District of Alabama in each of the above cases. Those motions remain pending. Plaintiffs provide notice of these pending motions pursuant to CMO 2 and request that this Court grant their motions to remand.²

² Pursuant to CMO 2, Plaintiffs' counsel conferred with Co-Lead Counsel regarding the filing of this notice, and Co-Lead Counsel consents to the filing of this notice. 3M has previously filed briefs in opposition to Plaintiffs' Motions to Remand.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of August, 2024.

/s/ Jason W. Earley
Jason W. Earley
Attorney for Plaintiffs

OF COUNSEL

HARE, WYNN, NEWELL & NEWTON, LLP Jason W. Earley
Tempe D. Smith
Christopher S. Randolph, Jr.
800 Shades Creek Parkway, Suite 800
Birmingham, Alabama 35209
(205) 328-5330
jason@hwnn.com
tempe@hwnn.com
chris@hwnn.com

GARNETT PATTERSON INJURY LAWYERS, LLC Hunter S. Garnett 100 Jefferson Street South, Suite 300 Huntsville, Alabama 35801 (256) 539-8686 hunter@gpinjurylaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On August 27, 2024, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court through the CM/ECF system, which will provide service to all counsel of record.

/s/ Jason W. Earley
Jason W. Earley
Attorney for Plaintiffs